

From: Kathy <mkdecar@gmail.com>  
Sent: Wednesday, July 8, 2026 4:18 PM  
To: Erika@fieldstonecommunitiesllc.com; Gustafson, Brianna <BGustafson@roseville.ca.us>  
Cc: Kathy <mkdecar@gmail.com>; Planning External <PlanningDivision@roseville.ca.gov>  
Subject: Tree Removal - Oakleaf Estates

External: This email was sent by a person from outside your organization. Exercise caution when clicking links, opening attachments, or taking further action.

Hi Erika,

I am writing to express my profound disappointment and frustration regarding the communication your company provided to the community compared to the actions that ultimately took place.

I attended two separate neighborhood meetings where the planned tree removals were discussed. During those meetings, the trees designated for removal were clearly identified and numbered. Based on that information, I understood that certain trees would be removed, but the Valley Oak bordering my property—an established tree that took more than 30 years to grow and provided invaluable privacy, shade, and beauty—was not identified as one of them.

When I first saw the tree removal equipment on site, I was not alarmed because I believed the Valley Oak had been preserved as represented during the public meetings. You can imagine the shock and devastation I experienced when the equipment moved toward my property and began removing that tree.

This raises serious concerns. Was this an error by the tree removal contractor, or was the information presented by the developers to the Planning Commission and the surrounding community inaccurate? Either possibility is deeply troubling.

The loss of this mature tree has had a significant impact on my property, eliminating decades of natural privacy and shade that cannot simply be replaced. Throughout the planning process, your company emphasized the importance of preserving mature trees

wherever possible. The removal of this Valley Oak appears to contradict those commitments.


I respectfully request a clear explanation of why this tree was removed despite not being identified during the public process, and I ask that you outline the specific steps your company intends to take to restore the privacy that has been lost and to honor the commitments made regarding tree preservation.

I look forward to your prompt response and a meaningful plan to address this situation.

Sincerely,

Kathy DeCarlo





## LEGEND

|                                       | TO REMAIN | PROPOSED REMOVAL |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|------------------|
| VALLEY OAK PER ARBORIST REPORT        |           |                  |
| INTERIOR LIVE OAK PER ARBORIST REPORT |           |                  |

| LOT # |             |
|-------|-------------|
| 1     | NONE        |
| 2     | 8" NON-C    |
| 3     | NONE        |
| 4     | 60" NON-    |
| 5     | 53, 54, 55, |
| 6     | 70, 72      |
| 7     | 86          |
| 8     | 78, 80, 82, |